MedImmune

School-Located Influenza Vaccination Programs in the United States: A Review of the Medical Literature

Harry F. Hull, MD¹ and Christopher S. Ambrose, MD² ¹H.F. Hull & Associates, LLC, Saint Paul, MN, USA; ²MedImmune, LLC, Gaithersburg, MD, USA

Introduction

- In the United States, annual influenza vaccination is recommended for all children 6 months through 18 years of age.¹
- · Private practices and public clinics do not have the capacity to vaccinate a high proportion of children between the time that influenza vaccine becomes available and the peak of the influenza season.²
- Public health authorities are increasingly adopting school-located influenza vaccination (SLIV) programs as a cost-effective,³ efficient approach for reaching large numbers of children.

Objective

• To conduct a review of the available medical literature to summarize the collective experience regarding recent U.S. SLIV programs for the benefit of future programs

Methods

- The National Library of Medicine PubMed system and Medical Intelligence Solutions' Knowledge Discovery Platform (New York, NY) were searched for medical journal articles and conference abstracts, respectively.
- Search terms were influenza AND [vaccination OR *immunization*] AND school.
- All publications from January 2000–May 2010 were included in the search; 39 articles and 524 abstracts were initially identified; conference posters and slide presentations posted online were reviewed.
- Publications that provided quantitative data regarding US SLIV programs were selected for this review.

Results

- Published reports or abstracts for 36 SLIV programs (20 seasonal, 13 H1N1, and 3 combined seasonal and H1N1) were identified, some of which spanned multiple years.⁴⁻⁴⁰
- · The number of SLIV programs was highest for kindergarten through grade 12 (K–12), followed by elementary school (Figure 1).

- Many early SLIV programs were formal studies or pilot programs conducted with manufacturer support; however, since 2006, with one exception, programs have taken place without manufacturer assistance.
- Most school programs offered live attenuated influenza vaccine (LAIV) only (Figure 2).
- Programs vaccinated from 70 to 128,228 students; where reported (n=24), coverage ranged from 5% to 73% (Figure 3).
- 15 programs vaccinated ≥40% of students, 7 vaccinated 20%-39% of students, and 2 vaccinated <20% of students.
- The largest seasonal influenza vaccination program reported was conducted by the Hawaii Department of Health (n=63,153).4
- In response to the H1N1 pandemic, New York City reported the largest number of students vaccinated in SLIV programs (n=128,228).5
- Among children recommended to receive a second dose, 53%–95% of these children actually received the second dose (Figure 4).
- Among programs reporting coverage by age or grade, coverage was consistently higher among elementary students and lower in high school students (Figure 5).
- Where reported, classroom disruption was generally described as minimal. In Hawaii, the median time for a child to be vaccinated was 4 minutes, and 90% of children spent <10 minutes in the clinic.⁴ During the H1N1 SLIV programs in San Diego, students missed <20 minutes of class time.⁷
- Faculty and staff were immunized in some programs; where reported (n=8), 5–21 teachers were vaccinated for every 100 students vaccinated (Figure 6).
- Most SLIV programs vaccinated children without charge; many health departments were able to provide free vaccination to all children during their H1N1 campaigns because of federal funding.^{5,8,9}
- Costs for seasonal SLIV programs, where reported (n=5), ranged from approximately \$20-\$27 per dose delivered, including vaccine and administration costs.







*TIV was offered for those ineligible for LAIV. LAIV=live attenuated influenza vaccine; TIV=trivalent inactivated influenza vaccine





Conclusions

- In the US, successful SLIV programs have been conducted in individual schools, school districts, and statewide. often vaccinating 40%–50% of students.
- SLIV programs may be less costly than vaccinating children elsewhere.
- A national, financially sustainable model would support future development of SLIV programs.

References

- Fiore AE, et al. MMWR Recomm Rep. 2010;59:1-62. Band CM et al. Arch Pediatr Adolesc Med. 2008;162:1048-1055
- Schmier J, et al. Health Aff (Millwood). 2008;27:w96-104.
- Effler PV, et al. Emerg Infect Dis. 2010;16:244-250
- 5. Zucker J. School-based H1N1 vaccination in New York City. Presented at: 44th National Immunization Conference; April 19-22, 2010; Atlanta, GA
- 6. Francesconi M. Rhode Island's H1N1 pandemic experience. Presented at: 44th National Immunization Conference; April 19-22, 2010;
- Dequire N and Olson T. School located vaccination clinics in San Diego County. Presented at: 44th National Immunization Conference April 19-22, 2010; Atlanta, GA.
- Jenlink CH, et al. J Sch Nurs, 2010;26;7S-13S
- Jenlink CH, et al. J Sch Nurs, 2010:26:14S-26 . Hull HF, et al. Vaccine. 2008;26:4312-4313.
- 11. Carpenter LR, et al. Pediatrics, 2007;120:e172-178.
- 12. King JC, Jr., et al. Pediatrics. 2005;116:e868-873.
- 3. Kerrigan C and Zachrich K. Super Shot/Parkview Hospital FluMist clinics. Presented at: 43rd National Immunization Conference; March 30–April 2, 2009; Dallas, TX.
- 14. Wiggs-Stayner KS, et al. J Sch Nurs. 2006;22:219-222
- 15. King JC, Jr, et al. N Engl J Med. 2006;355:2523-2532
- 16. Davis MM, et al. Pediatrics. 2008;122:e260-265.
- 7. National Association of County & City Health Officials. Fact sheet: The FluMist® for schools program Carroll County, MD. Available at: http://www.naccho.org/topics/HPDP/infection ion/upload/Carroll-County.pdf, Accessed February 4, 201 18. Talbot HK, et al. Vaccine. 2009;27:1923-1927.
- 19. National Association of County & City Health Officials. Fact sheet: The FluMist® for schools program San Bernadino County. CA. Available at: http://www.naccho.org/topic n/upload/San-Bernadino.pdf. Accessed February 4, 2
- 20. Boria MC, et al, J Sch Nurs, 2009:25 Suppl 1:29S-36S.
- . Mears CJ, et al. J Adolesc Health. 2009;45:91-94.
- 22. Tran CT-H, et al. FluMist immunization decreased flu related absenteeism one year after administration in a school based immunizatio program in Alachua County, Florida. Presented at: 136th Annual Meeting and Exposition of the American Public Health Association (APHA); October 25-29, 2008; San Diego, CA,
- 23. Bernstein A and Swank LC. Mass vaccination of a target population Presented at: 42nd National Immunization Conference; March 17-20, 2008; Atlanta, GA
- 24. Heilman PA and Martin K. Designing and implementing a live attenuated influenza vaccine clinic in a school setting. Presented at: 41st National Immunization Conference: March 5-8, 2007; Kansas City, MO.
- 25. Gaglani MJ, et al. Expansion of a school-based influenza vaccination and herd immunity protection trial in Central Texas Second verof VIPS: Vaccines for Influenza Prevention in Schools, Presented at: 12th Annual Conference on Vaccine Research: April 27-29, 2005 Baltimore, MD.
- 26. Gaglani MJ, et al. Effective implementation of a school-based influenza vaccination and herd protection trial in Central Texas VIPS: Vaccin for Influenza Prevention in Schools. Presented at: 11th Annual Conference on Vaccine Research; May 5 - May 7, 2008; Baltimore, MD.
- 27. Gaglani MJ, et al. Uptake of 2009 pandemic H1N1 live attenuated influenza vaccine (LAIV), intranasal, significantly lower than 2009 nization program in Central Texas. Presented at: Pediatric Academic Societies Annua asonal LAIV in a school-based Influenza Meeting; May 1-4, 2010; Vancouver, BC, Canada.
- Gaglani MJ, et al. Improvement of seasonal influenza immunization rate in a rapid school-based vaccination program in Central Texas. Presented at: Pediatric Academic Societies Annual Meeting; May 1-4, 2010; Vancouver, BC, Canada.
- 29. Baker B. Utilizing an immunization task force for the implementation of school-based influenza clinics. Presented at: 44th Nationa Immunization Conference; April 19-22, 2010; Atlanta, GA.
- 30. Cook C. In school influenza vaccine delivery reduces absenteeism. Presented at: 43rd National Immunization Confe March 30-April 2, 2009; Dallas, TX.
- 31. Andersen B, et al. Live-Latino influenza vaccine education initiative: A primer for school influenza vaccinations in the Latino Population Presented at: 43rd National Immunization Conference; March 30-April 2, 2009; Dallas, TX.
- Gargano LM, et al. Influenza vaccine delivery to adolescents: Assessment of two multicomponent interventions. Presented at 14th International Congress on Infectious Diseases; March 9-12, 2010; Miami, FL.
- 33. Painter JE, et al. Health Promot Pract. 2010;11:42S-52S.
- 34. Chilton L and Pentler A. New Mexico school influenza pilot project. Presented at: 43rd National Immunization Conference larch 30-April 2, 2009; Dallas, TX.
- 35. Pentler A and Chilton L. Training health science students in New Mexico in immunization and public health response. Presented at: 44th National Immunization Conference; April 19-22, 2010; Atlanta, GA.
- Brown LC, et al. The role of a community hospital in implementing a school-based seasonal influenza vaccination project in the South Central Bronx. Presented at: Pediatric Academic Societies Annual Meeting; May 1-4, 2010; Vancouver, BC, Canada. 37. Conant M. et al. School-located seasonal and 2009 H1N1 influenza vaccine initiative. Presented at: 44th National Immunization
- nference; April 19-22, 2010; Atlanta, GA. Montgomery P, et al. H1N1 school-based immunization program: experiences from Lo Presented at: 44th National Immunization Conference; April 19-22, 2010; Atlanta, GA. riences from Louisville Kentucky, a large metropolitan are
- Shlay J, et al. Implementation of an elementary school-located influenza vaccination program with billing of third-party payers Presented at: 44th National Immunization Conference; April 19-22, 2010; Atlanta, GA.
- Ashkar S, et al. A targeted approach for selecting schools for H1N1 school-located vaccination efforts. Presented at: 44th National Immunization Conference; April 19-22, 2010; Atlanta, GA.

Sponsored by MedImmune, LLC.